```
1
    Cristina C. Arguedas (CalBN 87787)
       Email: arguedas@achlaw.com
2
    Ted W. Cassman (CalBN 98932)
3
       Email: cassman@achlaw.com
    Raphael M. Goldman (CalBN 229261)
4
       Email: goldman@achlaw.com
    ARGUEDAS, CASSMAN & HEADLEY LLP
5
    803 Hearst Avenue
6
    Berkeley, CA 94710
    Telephone: (510) 845-3000
7
    Facsimile:
               (510) 845-3003
8
    Counsel for Jeffrey Wertkin
9
10
11
                         UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12
                       NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13
14
                             SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
15
16
17
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                       ) No. 17-MJ-70131 (LB)
18
                            Plaintiff,
                                       ) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
19
                                         ORDER CONTINUING
20
                                         PRELIMINARY HEARING
    ٧.
21
     JEFFREY WERTKIN,
22
                           Defendant.
23
24
25
26
27
28
    STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING PRELIMINARY HEARING
    United States v. Jeffrey Wertkin, No. 17-MJ-70131 (LB)
```

//

Jeffrey Wertkin and the United States Attorney's Office for the Northern District of California, by and through their respective undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

The date for preliminary hearing or arraignment in this matter is presently set for November 7, 2017.

The government and counsel for the defense are presently engaged in productive discussions concerning the disposition of this matter, but the discussions are ongoing. Counsel for the defense believes that additional time is needed to review the evidence that the government has produced in discovery, evaluate the case and conduct discussions with the government.

Taking into account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases, there is good cause to extent the time limits for a preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 from November 7, 2017 to November 29, 2017.

A failure to grant the continuance would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and under the circumstances the ends of justice served by a reasonable continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

The defendant consents to the setting of the preliminary hearing on November 29, 2017, as well as an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act from November 7, 2017 to November 29, 2017.

The parties therefore stipulate and agree that the date for preliminary hearing or arraignment should be continued from November 7, 2017 to November 29, 2017 at 9:30 a.m. under the Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1(d) and 18 U.S.C. § 3060 and that time be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act between November 7, 2017 and November 29, 2017, under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

SO STIPULATED: 1 2 Dated: November 2, 2017 BRIAN J. STRETCH **United States Attorney** 3 4 By: Robin Harris 5 Assistant United States Attorney 6 Dated: November 2, 2017 By: /s/ 7 Raphael M. Goldman 8 ARGUEDAS, CASSMAN & HEADLEY, LLP Counsel for Jeffrey Wertkin 9 10 11 12 [PROPOSED] ORDER 13 Pursuant to the parties' stipulation, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that the 14 preliminary hearing in this matter is re-set from November 7, 2017 to November 29. 15 2017 at 9:30 a.m. The Court finds that good cause is shown for extending the time 16 limits set forth in Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1(c), and, further, concludes 17 that the extension is proper under Rule 5.1(d) and Title 18, United States Codes, 18 Sections 3060 and 3161. 19 The Court finds the failure to grant the requested extension would deny counsel 20 the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the 21 exercise of due diligence. The Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting 22 the requested extension outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in 23 a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases. The Court also 24 concludes that an exclusion of time from November 7, 2017 through and including 25 November 29, 2017 should be made under Title 18, United States Code, Sections 26 3161(h)(7)(A) and 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The Court also finds that the ends of justice 27

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING PRELIMINARY HEARING

3

United States v. Jeffrey Wertkin, No. 17-MJ-70131 (LB)

28

served by excluding the period from November 7, 2017 to November 29, 2017, outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. Id. § 3161(h)(7)(A). IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: November 2, 2017 The Honorable Laurel Beeler United States Magistrate Judge